



A Rare Case of Blepharophimosis, Ptosis, Epicanthus Inversus Syndrome with Antimongloid Slant: Case Report

Indu Yadav, Surbhi Agarwal, Kalyan Singh*

Department of Ophthalmology, GSVM Medical College Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Abstract

Blepharophimosis, ptosis, epicanthus inversus syndrome (BPES) is a rare genetic condition caused by a mutation in the FOXL2 gene and it is inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern. Identification and diagnosis of BPES syndrome by an ophthalmologist are relatively easy, based on the characteristic ocular manifestations. The most common age group at the time of diagnosis is 4 to 8 years. Here, we present a case of BPES in a patient who presented to our OPD side with the syndrome at the age of 20 years. There is a need for increased awareness about this condition among ophthalmologists, as early diagnosis is the key factor in preventing long-term complications.

ARTICLE INFO

*Correspondence:

Kalyan Singh
singhkalyan1994@gmail.com

Department of
Ophthalmology, GSVM
Medical College Kanpur,
Uttar Pradesh, India.

Dates:

Received: 25-12-2021

Accepted: 10-02-2022

Published: 25-04-2022

Keywords:

Blepharophimosis,
Epicanthus inversus
syndrome, Ptosis,
Antimongloid slant.

How to Cite:

Yadav, I., Agarwal, S.,
Singh, K. A Rare Case
of Blepharophimosis,
Ptosis, Epicanthus
Inversus Syndrome with
Antimongloid Slant:
Case Report. *UP Journal
of Ophthalmology*.
2022;10(1): 36-38. DOI:
10.56692/upjo.2022100107

INTRODUCTION

Blepharophimosis, ptosis, and epicanthus inversus syndrome (BPES) is a genetic condition associated with mutations in the Forkhead Box L2 (FOXL2) gene. The syndrome is inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern, with an estimated incidence of 1 in 50,000 births.^{1,2} It is divided into two types depending on the affected organs. Type 1 BPES involves a defect in eyelids and ovaries, whereas only eyelids are affected in type 2 BPES. The diagnosis is usually made at birth or during early childhood owing to its characteristic ocular deformities.³

CASE REPORT

A 20-year-old male patient presented to our hospital with a complaint of small bilateral eyes with bilateral drooping of upper eyelids. According to the patient, he has had these symptoms since birth, as told by his parents to him. On detailed history, the patient revealed that his father also had the same facial features with no visual impairment. The patient also had no visual complaints since childhood and he never visited any hospital for these complaints.

Systemic examination was normal and general physical examination revealed an antimongloid slant, which was more prominent in his childhood as depicted by his old photos (Figure. 1). On ocular examination, he had chin elevation

© UPJO, 2022 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>.



Figure 1: Childhood picture of a patient with BPES



Figure 2: Preoperative picture of a patient



Figure 2: Post operative (Day 1) picture

with pseudoesotropia in both his eye. He also had bilateral blepharophimosis, severe bilateral ptosis with fair levator function, epicanthus inversus and telecanthus (Figure. 2). On acuity testing, his best vision was 20/20 in his both eyes.

Based on the characteristic examination findings, a diagnosis of BPES was made. Further, his family history was taken and as earlier mentioned father had similar facial features but his brother did not have any such symptoms. The patient was counseled about the available treatment options and the prognosis of the disease and the patient was advised two staged corrections for BPES for blepharophimosis, telecanthus and epicanthus inversus in the first setting and ptosis in the second stage. The patient underwent stage 1 correction for

BPES and followed up was done at day 1, and day 10 with satisfactory results, as stated by the patient himself regarding a cosmetic point of view (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

Blepharophimosis, ptosis, and epicanthus inversus syndrome (BPES) is a developmental disorder and its diagnosis is based on four major features: blepharophimosis, ptosis, epicanthus inversus, and telecanthus.^{4,5} All these characteristic features were present in our patient. Patients with BPES have a high incidence of bilateral strabismus and amblyopia.² There is also a high incidence of refractive errors in patients suffering from BPES. Some authors have reported rare ocular findings including microphthalmos, anophthalmos, microcornea, hypermetropia, and nystagmus. None of these rare features were detected in our patient. In addition to the above-mentioned findings, our patient was also found to have antimongloid slant which was more significant in his childhood (Fig. 3). The patients with BPES have also been reported to present with congenital cardiac deformities along with the high risk of acquired cardiac conditions due to the lack of estrogen.

There have been several case reports reporting isolated cases of BPES. Most of these case reports had reported 4 and 8 years as the most common age group at the time of diagnosis.²⁻⁵

BPES has its genetic basis in a mutation of the FOXL2 gene, located on the long arm of chromosome 3 (3q23). Four types of deletions in chromosome 3q have been described in BPES (viz. 46XY, del 3qter; 46XY, del 3q26.3; 46XX, del 3q24-25 and 46XY, del 3q26-qter). Cytogenetically normal patients should be further evaluated for FOXL2 sequence variations. Complete or partial loss of FOXL2 protein function leads to BPES Type I and II development, respectively. The FOXL2 gene instructs the proteins involved in the eyelid muscles and ovarian development. More than 100 FOXL2 gene mutations have been identified in BPES, which include, but are not limited to, frameshift insertions, nonsense mutations and missense mutations.² In our case, we did not study the genetic anomaly of the patient as a diagnosis of typical genetic anomaly is not a diagnostic criterion of BPES, which

is largely a clinical diagnosis. Further, genetic studies do not help differentiate between the syndrome types. Additionally, it needs to provide more useful information for planning the management for the patient.

Diagnosis of BPES by an ophthalmologist is relatively easier owing to the characteristic ocular manifestations. Early identification and establishing a family pedigree help in starting the specific treatment in the early phases of the disease, thus, avoiding the risk of any irreversible damage such as amblyopia.⁴ Another important non-ophthalmic complication to keep a close watch for is infertility in females. Females with infertility are often found to undergo several expensive investigations for the evaluation of the problem, which can be avoided in patients with BPES-related infertility by diagnosing this syndrome through its typical facial features. The goals of treatment include fertility preservation by cryopreservation of ovarian tissue, prevention of long-term side effects of hypoestrogenic state and prevention of amblyopia by early corrective surgery of eyelid and strabismus. Hormone replacement therapy in higher doses should be continued until menopause to prevent a hypoestrogenic state.³ These patients require long term follow-up and treatment by a multidisciplinary team, including an ophthalmologist, gynecologist, endocrinologist, and cardiologist.⁴ Furthermore, genetic counseling for the patient's family is required once someone in the family is identified to have BPES.

Selecting the timing for eyelid surgery is controversial. It involves weighing the risk-benefit ratio. While early surgery would prevent deprivation amblyopia, late surgery would allow for more reliable ptosis measurements, which will further provide a better surgical outcome. Further, ptosis surgery is hampered by the dysplastic structure of the eyelids.⁶ The surgical management is traditionally performed in two stages and involves a medial canthoplasty for the correction of blepharophimosis, epicanthus inversus, and telecanthus between the age of three to five years, followed about a year later by ptosis correction, which usually requires a brow suspension procedure.^{6,7} Alternatively, a one-stage procedure in which medial canthoplasty and ptosis correction are performed simultaneously has been described.⁸ Recent insights into the causes

of abnormal lower eyelid positioning allow more targeted surgical reconstruction that produces a more natural appearance.⁹

CONCLUSION

Blepharophimosis, ptosis, epicanthus, inversus syndrome (BPES) is a rare disease that is not difficult to diagnose as it has typical clinical features. However, awareness needs to be raised among both ophthalmologists and gynecologists about this condition. Early diagnosis is the key factor in improving the patients' long-term outcomes and overall prognosis.

REFERENCES

1. Zlotogora J, Sagi M, Cohen T. The blepharophimosis, ptosis, and epicanthus inversus syndrome: delineation of two types. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1983;35:1020-7.
2. Chawla B, Bhadange Y, Dada R, Kumar M, Sharma S, Bajaj MS, et al. Clinical, radiologic, and genetic features in blepharophimosis, ptosis, and epicanthus inversus syndrome in the Indian population. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2013;54:2985-91.
3. Niharika D, Sandipan C, Vinita G, Jaya C, Rajlaxmi M. Blepharophimosis ptosis epicanthus inversus syndrome (BPES): A rare cause of primary ovarian insufficiency. *J Case Rep Images Gynecol Obstet.* 2016;2:20-4.
4. Verdin H, De Baere E. Blepharophimosis, Ptosis, and Epicanthus Inversus. 2004 Jul 8 [Updated 2015 Feb 5]. In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, Wallace SE, Bean LJH, Stephens K, et al., editors. *GeneReviews®* [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle. 1993-2019.
5. Gupta AK, Gupta DC, Khan SA, Razi SM. Blepharophimosis Ptosis Epicanthus Inversus Syndrome (BPES) Type 1 in an Indian Family. *J ASEAN Federation Endo Soc.* 2017;32:68.
6. Beckingsale PS, Sullivan TJ, Wong VA, Oley C. Blepharophimosis: a recommendation for early surgery in patients with severe ptosis. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2003;31:138-42.
7. Sa HS, Lee JH, Woo KI, Kim YD. A new method of medial epicanthoplasty for patients with blepharophimosis-ptosis-epicanthus inversus syndrome. *Ophthalmology.* 2012;119:2402-7.
8. Wu SY, Ma L, Tsai YJ, Kuo JZ. One-stage correction for blepharophimosis syndrome. *Eye (Lond).* 2008;22:380-8.
9. Decock CE, Claerhout I, Leroy BP, Kesteley P, Shah AD, De Baere E. Correction of the lower eyelid malpositioning in the blepharophimosis-ptosis-epicanthus inversus syndrome. *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2011;27:368-70.