

Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter in Glaucoma Patients and its Correlation with Intraocular Pressure

Shalini Mohan, MS, DNB, MNAMS, FCGP, Jayati Pandey, MS, Kushwaha RN, MS, Singh Parul, MS, Khan P, MS
GSVM Medical College, Kanpur

Aim: To compare Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter (ONSD) in Primary Open Angle Glaucoma (POAG), Primary Angle Closure Glaucoma (PACG) and Normal Tension Glaucoma (NTG).

Material And Method: Patients with POAG (n≥38), PACG (n≥32), NTG (n≥18) and Controls (n≥48) underwent B-scan ultrasound and Computed Tomography Scan (CT scan) measurement of ONSD. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was measured in all groups and was correlated with ONSD.

Result: ONSD was significantly ($p \geq 0.001$) increased in NTG patients (mean $\geq 5.0\text{mm} \pm 0.48\text{SD}$) compared with POAG

(mean $\geq 4.20\text{mm} \pm 0.32$), PACG (mean $\geq 4.33\text{mm} \pm 0.27$) and control (mean $\geq 4.21\text{mm} \pm 0.31$). ONSD showed correlation with IOP in PACG group ($r \geq 0.392$, $p \geq 0.02$) while it did not in other groups.

Conclusion: ONSD in a group of NTG patients were significantly increased compared with POAG, PACG and controls indicating the role of translaminar cribriform pressure gradient in NTG patients. Indirect measurement of ICP by assessment of ONSD may provide further insight into retrolaminar pressure component and pathophysiology of glaucoma.



Introduction

Glaucoma is the leading cause of irreversible blindness affecting more than 60 million people worldwide.¹ Glaucoma is a chronic progressive optic neuropathy that is recognised by the appearance of characteristic cupping of optic disc associated with corresponding visual field

defects. The disease is characterised by progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) & their axons associated with tissue remodelling of the optic nerve head (ONH).

A sustained increase in Intraocular Pressure (IOP) may be due to increased formation of aqueous humor, poor drainage or raised pressure in the episcleral veins. Of these first & last rarely occurs and it follows that raised IOP is essentially due to an increased resistance to its drainage through angle of anterior chamber.

The optic nerve is derived from an out-pouching of the diencephalon (optic stalk) during embryonic development. As a consequence, the fibres of the optic nerve are covered with myelin produced by oligodendrocytes, rather than Schwann cells of the peripheral nervous system, and are encased within the meninges. The optic nerve is ensheathed in all three meningeal layers (dura, arachnoid and pia mater). Its diameter increases from about 1.6 mm within the eye to 3.5 mm in the orbit to 4.5 mm within the

cranial space. The optic nerve component lengths are 1 mm in the globe, 24 mm in the orbit, 9 mm in the optic canal, and 16 mm in the cranial space before joining the optic chiasm.²

The optic nerve sheath is an anatomical extension of the duramater and so the subarachnoid space around the optic nerve is continuous with the intracranial subarachnoid space. The optic nerve is separated from its sheath by a fluid layer of cerebral spinal fluid (CSF), which is in continuity with the rest of the central nervous system. The lamina cribrosa is a trabecular structure of several layers and pores of different sizes through which the optic nerve fiber bundles pass, and it is a continuation of the inner layer of the posterior sclera. These are well known facts. The sieve like structure, lamina cribrosa acts as a pressure barrier between the intraocular space and the retrobulbar space of the optic nerve. Increase in intra cranial pressure (ICP) lead to an increase in the volume of the fluid layer, thereby increasing optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) as measured in the retrobulbar portion of the optic nerve trajectory. Dilatation of the optic nerve sheath has been shown to be a much earlier manifestation of ICP rise.^{3,4} The pressure gradient between the anterior force of IOP and posterior force of CSF-p within the orbit is also known as Translaminar Cribriform Pressure Difference (TLCPD)

$$\text{TLCPD} = \text{IOP} - \text{CSF-p}$$

The TLCPD depends on the IOP and the retrobulbar CSF pressure. The basic hypothesis has been that increased TLCPD

is detrimental to the axons of the optic nerve via a mechanical insult and/or through a disturbed axoplasmic transport, which then causes edema. A change in either IOP or ICP may affect the homeostasis of the ONH. In the last decade, it has also been postulated that possible low ICP in normal-tension glaucoma. The fact that dilated onh is due to raised ICP. If ICP is low in NTGs why are we getting dilated ONH in ntg? Dilated ONH means raised ICP and so less TLCPD.⁵ Morgan et al. (2016) has discussed the possible influence of orbital pressure on the pressure in the optic nerve subarachnoid space (ONSAS) and that it might buffer large TLCPD effects when ICP is very low. They hypothesized that low orbital pressure and decreased elasticity of the pia mater could lead to increased transfer of low pressures from the orbit and the ONSAS to the retrolaminar optic nerve.⁶ No significance noted in present context. The ability of lamina cribrosa to withstand pressure gradient without deformity is dependent on its thickness, the rigidity of extracellular matrix and peripheral sclera tension. The lamina cribrosa's ability to maintain shape is important in protecting structures that pass through it. Increased TLCPD could cause bowing of the lamina cribrosa. Such deformity may damage optic nerve ganglion cells via mechanical compression or ischaemia as the vessels pass through the lamina cribrosa.⁷ CSF-P and IOP have equivalent effects on TLCPD and optic disc surface movement. Wostyn et al. suggested an alternative explanation for NTG development—the low ICP may be due to CSF circulatory failure which causes disturbed neurotoxin clearance along the optic nerve.⁸ This has been supported by findings of lower CSF flow-range ratio in the ONSAS of NTG patients.⁹ Furthermore, a hypothesis that high ICP fluctuations, i.e., rhythmic oscillations in ICP, may be an independent risk factor for glaucoma has also been presented.¹⁰ Re-write in your own words. Copying exactly word by word is not to be done.

An interesting new concept is the postulated presence of a perivascular transport system for waste clearance in the eye and the brain, i.e., the “glymphatic system” which is another means to move extracellular fluid.^{11,12,13} A reason why high TLCPD could be detrimental to the axons of the optic nerve could therefore be that it causes a restriction of normal glymphatic flow, leading to accumulation of toxic substances around axons and consequently damage to the axons of the optic nerve.¹⁴

The ideal method to measure CSF pressure is lumbar puncture but it is an invasive modality with increased risk with various life threatening complications. It has been found that raised

CSF pressure causes increased ONSD and vice versa^{13,4}. Therefore, measuring ONSD can be surrogate method to measure the CSF pressure and can throw some light in pathophysiology of various types of glaucoma. The optic nerve sheath is fairly easy to visualize by ultrasonography by insonation across the orbit in the axial plane. A-mode ultrasonography was used to view the optic nerve sheath more than four decades ago; B-mode scanning was performed subsequently to assess intraocular lesions¹⁵. Evolution of ultrasound technology and the development of high frequency (> 7.5 MHz) linear probes with improved spatial resolution have enabled excellent views of the optic nerve sheath. The ONSD, measured at a fixed distance behind the retina has been evaluated to diagnose and measure intracranial hypertension in traumatic brain injury and intracranial haemorrhage.^{11,17} Ultrasound-based assessment of the ONSD is a validated method for indirect measurement of the ICP.^{17,18,19} ONSD is much easier to measure on Computed Tomography scan (CT scan) than with sonography due to the good reproducibility of CT and the lack of a learning curve.


Objectives : The objective of the study is to study Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter in patients of POAG, PACG and NTG and to correlate it with IOP

Materials And Methods

Study Design

It is a hospital based cross sectional study.

Three cohorts of individuals over 18 years old were recruited for the study from department of Ophthalmology LLR hospital Kanpur: patients with POAG (n≥38), PACG



(n≥32), NTG(n≥18) and they were compared with healthy control (n≥42). Glaucoma patients were defined on basis of intraocular pressure, having characteristic optic disc damage and visual field loss as has been described previously in the literature.^{20,21} The healthy volunteers were screened by experienced ophthalmologists. Those with a family history of glaucoma, or an increased or asymmetrical cup/disc ratio or any other optic disc structural change (notching, disc hemorrhage), or an IOP above 21mmHg, were excluded as possible glaucoma suspects. Patients with a history of ocular trauma or eye disease (except glaucoma) that could not be

accounted for by refractive error were excluded. Patients on antiglaucoma drugs or with any known neurological disorder was also an exclusion criterion.

The study was approved by the ethical review committee (Institutional Review Board) of our own institution and was conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice within the tenets of the Helsinki's agreement. Each patient/subject was required to sign an informed consent statement before being enrolled into the study and prior to any study measurements being taken.

Measuring Devices

IOP was measured with the Goldmann applanation tonometer (GAT). Central corneal thickness (CCT) was measured using a pachymeter (Pachscan, Sonomed, and U.S.A). Angle of anterior chamber was assessed by 4 mirror gonioscopes. Visual fields were assessed by Humphrey's Perimeter (Zeiss, Germany). Disc photograph was taken by Fundus camera (Zeiss VisucamLite, Germany). Measurement of the ONSD was performed with a B-scan ultrasound probe (Sonomax, Montreal, Canada) and CT scan (Siemens, Germany).

Experimental Design

During the study visit, the following examinations were performed in the same order: BCVA using the Snellen's chart placed in the same location at the same distance from the patient under the same illumination for all subjects, IOP measurement by Applanation tonometer, Angle of anterior chamber, Disc photo, Visual field, and finally ONSD measurements. Later was performed by an observer masked to the patients' diagnosis. The patient was made to lie in the supine position with the head in a neutral position and both eyes closed and in primary gaze position. After application of coupling gel the insonation depth was set to 5–8 cm, the transducer was softly placed over the upper eyelid in an axial plane. This sonographic section provides a transverse view of the globe and the structures of the retrobulbar area. The ONSD was calculated perpendicular to the vertical axis of the scanning plane 3mm behind the globe, where the optic nerve sheath structure is more prone to expansion due to increase in ICP²² probably due to a decrease in sheath thickness in that retrobulbar segment of the optic nerve^{14,23}. Only one eye per patient was included in the study. The eye with greater glaucomatous damage was selected in the glaucoma patients.

Brain CT scan was performed with a series of millimetre slices (one slice every 0.6 mm). As for ultrasound, ONSD was measured at a distance of 3mm behind the eyeball, immediately below the sclera.^{16,24} ONSD was measured transversely as a section through the centre of the optic nerve.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows statistical package. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare between two variables. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare variables between four diagnostic groups. Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to study association between variables. Probabilities were two-tailed and considered statistically significant if $p < 0.05$.

Results

Table : Demography & ONSD in study participants

VARIABLE	POAG	PACG	NTG	CONTROL
Number	38	32	18	48
AGE(in years)	50.50±6.49	50.94±7.35	56.4±10.4	50.23±6.48
VA (log MAR)	0.44±0.32	1.76±0.26	1.13±0.55	0.06±0.09
Mean IOP (in mmHg)	27.29±3.45	49.75±11.13	16.72±3.84	15.19±2.51
CCT(in µm)	527.60±10.26	543.19±8.24	504.83±14.27	520.1±13.66
MD	-6.17±2.14	-11.35±2.02	-5.11±0.94	0.39±0.65
ONSD on USG (in mm)	4.20±0.32	4.33±0.27	5.0±0.48	4.21±0.31
ONSD on CT (in mm)	4.23±0.33	4.35±0.26	5.01±0.5	4.20±0.27

VA – Visual Acuity ; IOP – Intra ocular pressure ; CCT – Central Corneal Thickness ; MD – Mean Deviation ; ONSD – Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter

In our study, a total of 136 patients were included out of which 66(48.53%) were in 41-50 years age group whereas 60(44.12%) were in 51-60 years and 10 (7.35%) in > 60 years age group. Overall affected males were 84(61.76%) and females were 52 (38.24%). Males outnumbered females in all the groups except in PACG group where females outnumbered males (20,i.e.,62.5% females; 12,i.e.,37.5% males).

In our study ONSD was significantly increased in Normal Tension Glaucoma (5.0 ± 0.48 ; $p \geq 0.001$) compared to other groups but no significant correlation was found between IOP and ONSD in NTG group and POAG group ($r \geq -0.209$, $p \geq 0.406$; $r \geq 0.141$, $p \geq 0.398$ respectively) while in PACG group there was mild positive correlation between IOP and ONSD which was significant ($r \geq 0.392$, $p \geq 0.02$). The graph below shows increased ONSD both on USG and CT in NTG group as compared to other groups.




Figure 1 : Box Plot showing Mean of ONSD in CT




Figure 2 : Box Plot showing Mean of ONSD in usg

Discussion

The present study was conducted to evaluate the relevance of studying ONSD in glaucoma patients, and to study whether this indirect measurement of ICP correlates with IOP in these patients.

In the present study the ONSD in NTG Indian patients measured significantly ($P \geq 0.00$) larger diameters compared to controls without ON diseases. The findings of larger ONSDs in NTG patients are in accordance with measurements in a study from Jaggi et al ($7.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ mm}$)²⁵ and A Pircher et al ($6.4 \pm 0.9 \text{ mm}$)²⁶ who showed that ONSD in NTG patients was significantly increased ($p < 0.001$) and contradictory to Abegao Pinto et al¹²⁷ who did not find any significant difference in ONSD among NTG, POAG and Control ($p \geq 0.08$) which may be due to difference in the methodology used or difference in head position scanning between these two groups.

The TLCPD is not the only factor affecting optic nerve head but it is also the thickness of the wall separating these compartments i.e. lamina cribrosa. An abnormally thin sclera has been seen in NTG patients²⁸ which results in increased

stress on optic nerve in these patients.

Two mechanisms explain the enlarged ONSDs in NTG patients. First a localized CSF-Pressure elevation behind the globe due to impaired CSF outflow might lead to increased radial stress to the optic nerve sheath and thereby stretching the optic nerve sheath. Second, an accumulation of proteins of different biological functions²⁹.

In our study no significant correlation was found between IOP and ONSD in NTG group and POAG group ($r \geq -0.209$, $p \geq 0.406$; $r \geq 0.141$, $p \geq 0.398$ respectively) while in PACG group there was mild positive correlation between IOP and ONSD which was significant ($r \geq 0.392$, $p \geq 0.02$) whereas study conducted by Abegao Pinto L et al²⁰ showed that OSND did correlate with IOP in NTG patients ($r \geq 0.53$; $p < 0.001$) but not in POAG patients and healthy controls ($p \geq 0.46$, $P \geq 0.86$). The difference in Pinto et al study from our study may be because diurnal variation of IOP was not taken in to consideration. Since no study has been conducted regarding ONSD in PACG group hence, result cannot be compared.

Limitations of Study

Our work has several limitations. A direct measurement of intracranial pressure was not included, thus, intracranial pressure was presumed to be normal by taking history, so, there is possibility of contamination of the experimental groups by individuals with a yet undiagnosed neurological clinical condition. The sample size in NTG group was very small compared to other groups so further studies with larger sample size is required to confirm our results. In some patients, changes due to diurnal variation in IOP could give different results.

Conclusion

It is the trans-lamina cribrosa pressure difference (and not the transcorneal pressure difference, i.e. the so called intraocular pressure) which is of importance for the physiology and pathophysiology of glaucoma. Indirect measurement of ICP by assessment of ONSD may provide further insight into retrolaminar pressure component and pathophysiology of glaucoma. ONSD measurement can be used as a useful tool for diagnosing NTG in early stage as ONSD greater than 5 mm is might be indicator of greater glaucomatous damage in NTG patients. ONSD measurement through USG-B scan and CT scan being a non invasive procedure can be used as an alternate method of measuring CSF-pressure in glaucoma patients as well as in other neurological diseases.

References :

1. Quigley HA, Broman AT : The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and 2020. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2006; 90:262–267
2. Vilensky, Joel, Robertson, Wendy, Suarez-Quian, Carlos : *The Clinical Anatomy of the Cranial Nerves : The Nerves of "On Olympus Towering Top"*. Ames, Iowa: Wiley-Blackwell. 2015; ISBN 978-1118492017
3. Helmke, Hansen HC : Fundamentals of transorbital sonographic evaluation of optic nerve sheath expansion under intracranial hypertension. *Experimental study. PediatrRadiol.* 1966; 26:7015
4. Hayreh SS: The sheath of the optic nerve. *Ophthalmologica* 1984; 189:54–63
5. Berdahl JP, Yu DY, Morgan WH. The translaminal pressure gradient in sustained zero gravity, idiopathic intracranial hypertension, and glaucoma. *Med Hypotheses.* 2012;79(6):719–24
6. Morgan WH, Balaratnasingam C, Lind CR, Colley S, Kang MH, House PH, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid pressure and the eye. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2016;100(1):71–7
7. Guidoboni G, Harris A, Carichino L, et al. Effect of Lamina cribrosa deformation on the Hemodynamics of the Central Retinal Artery: a mathematical model. *MathmBiosciEng In press.*
8. Wostyn P, De Groot V, Van Dam D, Audenaert K, De Deyn PP. Senescent changes in cerebrospinal fluid circulatory physiology and their role in the pathogenesis of normal-tension glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2013;156(1):5–14.e2
9. Boye D, Montali M, Miller NR, Pircher A, Gruber P, Killer HE, et al. Flow dynamics of cerebrospinal fluid between the intracranial cavity and the subarachnoid space of the optic nerve measured with a diffusion magnetic resonance imaging sequence in patients with normal tension glaucoma. *ClinExpOphthalmol.* 2017
10. Wostyn P, De Groot V, Audenaert K, De Deyn PP. Are intracranial pressure fluctuations important in glaucoma? *Med Hypotheses.* 2011;77(4):598–6
11. Denniston AK, Keane PA. Paravascular pathways in the eye: is there an 'ocular glymphatic system'? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2015;56(6):3955–6
12. Mathieu E, Gupta N, Ahari A, Zhou X, Hanna J, Yucel YH. Evidence for cerebrospinal fluid entry into the optic nerve via a glymphatic pathway. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2017;58(11):4784–91
13. Wostyn P, Van Dam D, Audenaert K, Killer HE, De Deyn PP, De Groot V. A new glaucoma hypothesis: a role of glymphatic system dysfunction. *Fluids Barriers CNS.* 2015;12:16
14. Wostyn P, Killer HE, De Deyn PP. Glymphatic stasis at the site of the lamina cribrosa as a potential mechanism underlying open-angle glaucoma. *ClinExpOphthalmol.* 2017;45(5):539–47
15. Gangemi M, Cennamo G, Maiuri F, D'Andrea F : Echographic measurement of the optic nerve in patients with intracranial hypertension. *Neurochirurgia (Stuttg)* 1987; 30:53–5
16. Geeraerts T, Merceron S, Benhamou D, Vigué B, Duranteau J : Non-invasive assessment of intracranial pressure using ocular sonography in neurocritical care patients. *Intensive Care Med.* 2008; 34:2062–2067
17. Moretti R, Pizzi B, Cassini F, Vivaldi N : Reliability of optic nerve ultrasound for the evaluation of patients with spontaneous intracranial hemorrhage. *Neurocrit Care.* 2009; 11:406–410
18. Soldatos T, Chatzimichail K, Papathanasiou M: Optic nerve Sonography: a new window for the non-invasive evaluation of intracranial pressure in brain injury. *Emerg Med J.* 2009; 26:630–634
19. Bäuerle J, Lochner P, Kaps M, Nedelmann M: Intra and Inter observer reliability of sonographic assessment of the optic Nerve sheath diameter in healthy adults. *J Neuroimaging Dec 1 2010*
20. Jampel H : Target pressure in glaucoma therapy. *J Glaucoma.* 1997;:133–138
21. Zeyen T : Target pressures in glaucoma. *Bull SocBelge Optalmol.*1999; 274:61–65
22. Liu D, Kahn M : Measurement and relationship of subarachnoid pressure of the optic nerve to intracranial pressures in fresh cadavers. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1993; 116:548–556
23. Hayreh SS: Pathogenesis of oedema of the optic disc. *Doc Ophthalmol* 1968; 24:289–411
24. Geeraerts T, Newcombe VFJ, Coles JP, Abate MG, Perkes IE, Hutchinson PJA, Outtrim JG, Chatfield DA, Menon DK : Use of T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging of the optic nerve sheath to detect raised intracranial pressure. *Crit Care.* 2008; 1:R114.
25. Jaggi GP, Miller NR, Flammer J, Weinreb RN, Remonda L, KillerHE (2011) Optic nerve sheath diameter in normal-tension glaucomapatient. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2012; 96:1 53-56
26. Pircher A, Montali M, Berberat J, Remonda L and Killer HE. Relationship between the optic nerve sheath diameter and lumbar cerebrospinal ?uid pressure in patients with normal tension glaucoma. 2017; 31:1365-1372
27. Abegao Pinto L, Vandewalle E, Pronk et al. Intraocular pressure correlates with optic nerve sheath diameter in patients with normal tension glaucoma. *Graefes Arch ClinExpOphthalmol.* 2012; 250:1075
28. Mohamed-Noor J, Bochmann F, Siddiqui MA, Atta HR, Leslie T, Maharajan P, Wong YM, Azuara-Blanco A : Correlation between corneal and scleral thickness in glaucoma. *J Glaucoma.* 2009; 18:32–36
29. Killer HE, Jaggi GP, Flammer J, Miller NR, Huber AR. The optic nerve: a new window into cerebrospinal ?uid composition. *Brain* 2006; 129(Pt 4): 1027–1030